



Total score

**Water Quality Improvement Project Proposal Scoring System**

WQTAC Recommended Award:

Project Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Project Manager: \_\_\_\_\_

Proposal Number: \_\_\_\_\_

<b>Mandatory Criteria (required for eligibility)</b>		<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>
MC.1	Project application completed		
MC.2	Project to provide a measurable water quality improvement		
MC.3	Duration of benefits exceed 5 years		
MC.4	Project is not required by consent order, stipulation agreement or order of a court		
MC.5	Demonstrated technology		
MC.6	Property ownership commitment included		
MC.7	Project feasibility is demonstrated		
MC.8	Project is an approved project type within the WQIP and CPF Law		
MC.9	Property does not have any open violations with the Town		

**Scoring Criteria:**

<b>Water Quality Improvement (50 Points)</b>		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>Score</b>
WQ.1 (5 pts)	Measurable water quality improvement targeting a reduction of a priority pollutant			
WQ.2 (10 pts)	Impact on WQ is significant based on groundwater travel time (GWT) to waterbody; 10 pts: 0-2 yr GWT; 5 pts: 2-10 yr GWT; 3 pts: 10-25 yr GWT; 1 pt: 25-100 yr GWT)			
WQ.3 (10 pts)	Project serves water quality priority area stated in the Water Quality Improvement Plan			
WQ.4 (5 pts)	Project goal to improve water quality within the Peconic Estuary			
WQ.5 (10 pts)	Project targets a source reduction of a priority pollutant; (10 pts Wastewater; 5 pts Stormwater)			
WQ.6 (10 pts)	Project addresses a failed or emergency situation contributing to known water quality concerns (10 pts catastrophic; 5 pts non-catastrophic)			
Subtotal				

<b>Cost Factors (10 Points + 5 Point Bonus)</b>		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>Score</b>
C.1 (5 pts)	Detailed cost estimate is provided (with estimates of actual costs); costs are reasonable and justified			
C.2 (5 pts)	Project has a positive water quality improvement benefit versus cost ratio			
Subtotal				
BONUS: Project utilizes outside funding sources to offset CPF funds (5 pts)				

--

Total score

<b>Project Readiness and Goals (27.5 Points)</b>		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>Score</b>
PRG.1 (5 pts)	Owner is a not for profit or provides public service			
PRG.2 (5 pts)	Project supports Town, County, LINAP, and other adopted goals			
PRG.3 (2.5 pts)	Proposed project expands on or supports existing initiatives in a target area			
PRG.4 (2.5 pts)	Proposed use of proven technology or an established best management practice			
PRG.5 (2.5 pts)	No obstacles evident that will prevent the project from moving forward, on-time, timeline feasible			
PRG.6 (10 pts)	Project is voluntary (10 pts)			
Subtotal				

<b>Maintenance, Monitoring, and Evaluation (12.5 Points)</b>		<b>YES</b>	<b>NO</b>	<b>Score</b>
MME.1 (5 pts)	Provisions made for long term maintenance of the project post construction			
MME.2 (2.5 pts)	Stewardship, monitoring, enforcement protocols in place			
MME.3 (2.5 pts)	Education and outreach planned and incorporated into the project deliverables/goals			
MME.4 (2.5 pts)	Project is sustainable with respect to shoreline change, flood zone impacts, and sea level rise			
Subtotal				

<b>Final Grade/Score</b>	
--------------------------	--